

Re. point V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents in this decision:

D1 : HANDLEY M ET AL: "RFC 2543 SIP: Session Initiation Protocol" NETWORK WORKING GROUP REQUEST FOR COMMENTS, XX, XX, March 1999 (1999-03), pages 1-153, XP000864299

D2 : EP 1 263 204 A2 (ALCATEL) 4 December 2002 (2002-12-04)

Re point V

Reasoned statement in accordance with Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statements

1. The object of claim 1 is novel and inventive (Article 33(2)(3)).
 - 1.1. Claim 1 relates to a method for the establishment of a communication link from a first telecommunication device to a second telecommunication device via a telecommunication network and more particularly relates to a method whereby for call signaling in the case of the second telecommunication device, data objects which for example comprise images or tones of the user of the first telecommunication device are played.
 - 1.2. One object of the invention is thus to create a flexible possibility for the establishment of a communication link in which the calling subscriber has control over the data objects transmitted in the case of call signaling.

To this end, a data provision component is used in order to store a data object, which has been allocated to the

calling subscriber. A call signaling message, in which reference information has been provided, which refers to the data provision component on which the data object of the calling subscriber has been stored, is transmitted to the called subscriber.

1.3. This procedure is not suggested by the prior art.

Document D1 is regarded as the latest prior art. Document D1 is the standard SIP (Session Initiation Protocol).

D2 discloses a call information system in a multimedia system, but does not give the calling subscriber direct control over the call signaling process.

2. Claims 2-10 depend on claim 1 and thus also meet the requirements of the PCT with reference to novelty and inventive step.
3. The same reasoning mentioned in paragraphs 1 and 2 with respect to the method claims 1 to 10 can also be applied to the telecommunication arrangement claim 11. The independent claim 11 with that, likewise meets the requirements of the PCT with reference to novelty and inventive step.

Re. point VIII.

1. From the description it is evident that a connection establishment with call image transmission between SIP (Session Initiation Protocol)-supporting telephones and non-SIP supporting telephones is carried out, which is important for the invention.

Because claim 1 does not contain this feature, it does not meet the requirement of Article 6 PCT in connection with rule 6.3.b) PCT, that each claim must contain all the

important features of the invention.

Corresponding important technical features could have been taken from claim 6 and inserted into claim 1.